

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,267	02/25/2004	Dennis J. Peterlin	. 6579-0096-1	2482	
7590 11/17/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Richard R Michaud			PRONE, JASON D		
The Michaud-Duffy Group LLP 306 Industrial Park Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 206			3724		
Middletown, CT 06457			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, c	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,267	PETERLIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason Prone	3724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	VIC OFT TO EVOIDE AN	AONITU(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e. cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	September 2006.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5,6 and 11-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	S) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
are subject to restriction arrange	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
·	Adminior. Note the attache	, a cindo / tollori er remi / re re				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	As bassa basan nagabigad					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Burea		m too man and traileria. Grago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/07/05</u> . 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/786,267

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 5, 6, 11-15, and 16-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species and group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the replies filed on 2 June 2006 and 12 September 2006.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) 2. because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Figure 5, item "118". Figures 14-17, item "418". Figure 18, items "418", "428", and "430". Figure 19 and 21, item "518". Figure 22, items "518" and "528". Figure 23, items "518", "528", and "530". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/786,267

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 7-10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Michelson (3,797,110).

In regards to claim 1, Michelson discloses the same invention including providing material in the form of a razor blade blank (52), coining the blank to form a razor blade with a cutting edge (Column 5 lines 4-6), and finishing the cutting edge to form a finished razor blade (Column 5 lines 9-11).

In regards to claims 2 and 3, Michelson discloses the step of providing material includes providing unhardened material (inherent that 52 was unhardened at one point) and the hardening at least a portion of the cutting edge (inherent that 48/96 must be hardened at some point).

In regards to claims 7-10, Michelson discloses the step of coining includes shaping in the razor blade at least one skin guide (50/100 and Column 5 lines 4-6), the skin guide interrupts the cutting edge (Figs. 4 and 6), the skin guide projects beyond the cutting edge (Figs. 3 and 6), and the razor blade has a portion of the perimeter that is non-linear (Fig. 12 or 96).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/786,267

Art Unit: 3724

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michelson in view of Marcus et al. (5,824,387). Michelson discloses the invention but fails to disclose that the finishing includes using chemical etching.

Marcus et al. teaches that it is old and well known in the art of blade manufacturing to incorporate a finishing step including chemical etching (Column 13 line 2).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Michelson with a chemical etching step, as taught by Marcus et al., to allow for a more efficient manufacturing method.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Thompson, Lübbertsmeier, Hadjopoulos, Iten, Lane et al., Yu, Kramer, Leitner et al., Orloff, Ecer, and Oldroyd.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-4:30, Mon - (every other) Fri.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,267

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer D. Ashley can be reached on (571) 272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 13, 2006

Patent Examiner
Jason Prone
Art Unit 3724

T.C. 3700